You are invited to join the LCIA and LSE co-hosted debate.

**PROGRAMME**

**17.30**

Registration

**18.00**

Introduction

**18.10**

Debate Starts

**Clare Ambrose**

20 Essex Street, London

Clare Ambrose is a full-time arbitrator with over 25 years of experience of arbitration and litigation. She has practised from 20 Essex Street since 1993 as a barrister and now as a full-time arbitrator. Since 2008 she has been a Fellow of the Chartered Institute of Arbitrators and a Deputy District Judge.

**Professor Massimo Benedettelli**

ARBLIT Radicati di Brazo Sabatini Benedettelli Torsello and University of Bari “Aldo Moro”, Milan

Massimo is Full Professor (professore ordinario) at the Department of Law of the University “Aldo Moro” of Bari, where he holds the chair of International Law. Massimo’s professional and academic interests focus on international arbitration, international company law, insolvency law and European law.

**Lord Mance**

Deputy President of the Supreme Court, London

Jonathan Hugh Mance, Lord Mance became Deputy President of The Supreme Court in September 2017. This following his original appointment as a Justice of The Supreme Court in October 2009.

**19.30**

Drinks and networking

**FORMAT**

The uncertainty – and the certainty – of Brexit comes with a fair amount of anxiety about the future of London as a world-leading centre for financial and legal services. The arbitration community seems confident that London’s predominance as the prime international arbitration venue will not suffer. This seems absolutely warranted in comparison to alternative of court litigation.

Numerous law firms actually rejoice in client briefs that new opportunities open as English courts and arbitrators in London will potentially no longer be bound by EU laws, such as financial regulations, competition law and other market regulations. At the same time, practitioners regularly affirm that arbitral tribunals must apply overriding mandatory laws of those markets affected by the transactions. How are these positions reconcilable? Should London promote party autonomy – and itself as an off-shore jurisdiction for the Continent so as to capitalize on regulatory arbitrage, as already suggested as a “Plan B” by Theresa May negotiations with the EU are unfruitful? Should it seek to commit to an understanding of rule of law that does not allow the circumvention of foreign market regulations? To what degree should conflict of laws rules – or even institutional rules – be adapted to reflect one or the other path?


**ARBITRATION IN LONDON AFTER BREXIT**

Party Autonomy and Rule of Law?

**The second LCIA and LSE co-hosted debate**

Friday 9 March 2018

LSE New Academic Building, 54 Lincoln’s Inn Fields, London

You are invited to join the LCIA and LSE co-hosted debate.

Moderated by Lord Mance, Clare Ambrose and Massimo Benedettelli will explore the different views on arbitration in London after Brexit

This debate immediately precedes the annual LSE-LCIA London Vis Pre-Moot, held in preparation for the Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot in Vienna.

If you are not familiar with the Vis Moot, this premier arbitration moot focuses on international commercial arbitration and the international sale of goods.

For further information on the Debate and Pre-Moot, please visit the LCIA website: www.lcia.org

For more about the Vis Moot: www.vismoot.pace.edu

This event is free to attend. To register please visit: http://www.lcia.org//events/lcia-lse-arbitration-debate-9-march-2018-151.aspx